

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,058	03/26/2004	Stephen A. Thomas	06949.105018	8083
²⁰⁷⁸⁶ KING & SPAL	7590 10/29/2007 DING LLP		EXAMINER WANG, LIANG CHE A	
1180 PEACHT	REE STREET			
ATLANTA, G	A 30309-3521		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,058	THOMAS, STEPHEN A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Liang-che Alex Wang	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The solution of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the practice with the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the closed in the closed in accordance with the clos	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	• •	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 4-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date multiple.	Paper No(s)	nmmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/811,058 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 4-23 are presented for examination.

Paper Submitted

- 2. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file:
 - a. **Information Disclosure Statements** as received on 11/20/2006, 6/19/2006, 4/21/2006, 3/3/2006, 11/02/200, 3/26/2004 are considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoltz et al.,
 US Patent Number 6,615,264, hereinafter Stoltz, in views of Sikora et al., US Patent
 Number 6,449,646, hereinafter Sikora.
- 5. Referring to claim 4, Stoltz teaches a computer-implemented method for providing clearinghouse services to a client device in an Internet Protocol (IP) telephony system (figure 2), comprising the steps of:
 - a. transmitting a communication session set-up request (startup request) for a communication session to a proxy server (authentication manager 204) from a

Application/Control Number: 10/811,058

Art Unit: 2155

client application operating on the client device (network terminal 202)(Col 8 lines 29-31, network terminal 202 sends a startup request to authentication manger 204), the client device and the proxy server coupled to an IP network (Col 8 lines 45-56);

Page 3

- b. transmitting an authorization request from the proxy server to a clearinghouse service running on a service point (authentication module 240) coupled to the IP network (figure 2, and Col 9 lines 50-51, authentication manager 204 presents a message to authentication module 240), the clearinghouse service being accessible only by the proxy server (authentication manager 204) and one or more gateways (services 230-238)(figure 2);
- c. transmitting an authorization response from the service point (authentication module 240) to the proxy server (authentication manager 204) via the IP network (figure 2 and Col 10 lines 46-52, 59-64), the authorization response comprising the identity of one or more terminating gateways coupled to the IP network and available to complete the communication session (Col 10 lines 35-43), and an authorization token for each identified terminating gateway (Col 10 lines 1-12);
- d. selecting one of the terminating gateways with the proxy server to complete the communication session (Col 10 lines 59-64);
- e. transmitting via the proxy server the communication session set-up request to the selected terminating gateway via the IP network (Col 10 lines 59-64); and
- f. establishing the communication session via the selected terminating gateway (Col 10 line 67 Col 11 line 5).

Stoltz does not teach a communication session is established via PSTN.

However, Sikora teaches a PSTN is coupled to the devices and servers for communication connections (figure 1, computer system is coupled to PSTN 26 and PSTN is coupled to server 36 with gateway 40, Col 4 lines 4-16).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate PSTN of Sikora in Stoltz such that to have Stoltz system to be able to implemented with a PSTN because Stoltz teaches a data communication system that is having a plurality of nodes interconnected together (figure 2), and Sikora is suggesting the use of PSTN for connecting nodes in the communication system of Stoltz.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Stoltz because having the PSTN would allow a wider variety of communication method and protocols to be implemented on Stoltz's system as taught by Sikora.

- 6. Referring to claim 5, Stoltz as modified teaches the method of claim 4, further comprising receiving user authentication information, wherein the user authentication information comprises a pass-word (Col 9 lines 16-26).
- 7. Referring to claim 6, Stoltz as modified teaches the method of claim 4, further comprising receiving user authentication information, wherein the user authentication information comprises payment information (Col 10 lines 21-26).
- 8. Referring to claim 7, Stoltz as modified teaches the method of claim 4, further comprising terminating the call set-up request if the client application is not a valid user of the services maintained at the proxy server (Col 19 lines 37-46).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,058

Art Unit: 2155

9. Referring to claim 8, Stoltz as modified teaches the method of claim 4, wherein transmitting via the proxy server a communication session set-up request to the selected terminating gateway via the IP network further comprises formatting the set-up request according to one of a H.323 and SIP protocol (Sikora Col 11 lines 64-66).

Page 5

- 10. Referring to claim 9, Stoltz as modified teaches the method of claim 4, further comprising determining if the proxy server is a valid user of the call delivery services of the selected terminating gateway and determining if an authorization token has been issued by a known and valid clearinghouse service (Col 12 lines 35-48).
- 11. Referring to claim 10, Stoltz as modified teaches the method of claim 4, further comprising determining if the proxy server is a valid user of the call delivery services of the selected terminating gateway and determining if an authorization token has been issued within an expiration period (Col 10 lines 13-19).
- 12. Referring to claim 11, Stoltz as modified teaches the method of claim 4, further comprising determining if the proxy server is a valid user of the call delivery services of the selected terminating gateway and comparing a called number and a call identifier to information maintained in an authorization token (Col 12 lines 35-48).
- 13. Referring to claims 12-23 claims 12-23 encompass the same scope of the invention as that of the claims 4-11. Therefore, claims 12-23 are rejected for the same ground as the claims 4-11.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims,

Art Unit: 2155

the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR 1.111(c).

- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liang-che Alex Wang whose telephone number is (571)272-3992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.
- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B Burgess can be reached on (571)272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Liang-che Alex Wang October 22, 2007 Ly-ch Way